

# The knotted cords and the origins of the sexagesimal system

Jaime Vladimir Torres-Heredia Julca\*

September 27, 2025

## Abstract

This paper presents an explanation of the origins of the sexagesimal system linked to the use of a knotted cord 12 lengths long closed to form a loop. This device can suggest a grouping of elements by 60. We will see that this device has also important geometrical properties linked to the division of the circle in 360 equal parts. Historical and cultural arguments will also be given to support this thesis. We will see how the grouping of the Old Mesopotamian units of measurement of capacity, weight, mass and time confirm this thesis. We will see also how important groupings of the Old Mesopotamian units of measurement of length and area use the number 12 which is also suggested by this device.

## 1 Introduction

Several millenials ago, humans began to group elements in order to count them (see [Britan] ). In time, humans developped regular systems as base 10, base 20, etc. And, in the area of Mesopotamia, the base 60 was developped. The history of sexagesimal covers several millenials (see [Friberg19]).

However, the question of the origin of the choice of 60 as a base has remained, until now, open, even if we know the advantages<sup>1</sup> of 60, for example the fact that it is divided by 2, 3, 5, 12, 30, etc. For other bases as 5 or 10, for example, it's quite clear that they are linked to the number of human fingers (see [Ifrah2000], p. 47).

For the base 60, the question we can ask is: is there a natural object or phenomenon, a part of human body, or an important constructed object which can suggest the grouping of elements by 60? In the following sections we will present a device, a knotted cord 12 lengths long closed to form a loop, which can suggest, naturally, a grouping of elements by 60. It has also geometrical properties related to the circle and the division in 360 equal parts. We will show that this device is linked to the origins of sexagesimal. Historical and cultural arguments will also be given to support this thesis. We will see also how the grouping of the old mesopotamian units of measure of length, area, weight and time confirm this thesis.

In this paper we are presenting only the origins of the base 60. The base 60, as it was employed in Mesopotamia, had also remains of the base 10. There was a special sign for 10, for exemple.

---

\*Jaime V. Torres-Heredia is an independent researcher. He studied philosophy and computer science for the humanities at the University of Geneva, and holds a M.A. He is also a self-taught mathematician.

<sup>1</sup>There are other advantages of the sexagesimal system. I wrote a paper about a geometrical link between the circle and sexagesimal system (see [Torres-Heredia2005] published in july 2005, in French, and [Torres-Heredia2007] published in july 2007 in English).

## 2 The knotted cord with 12 equally spaced knots and the base 60

At the beginning of his book "The universal history of numbers" [Stillwell2010], John Stillwell recalls the importance of the Pythagorean theorem. He writes: "The Pythagorean theorem is the most appropriate starting point for a book on mathematics and its history. It is not only the oldest mathematical theorem, but also the source of three great streams of mathematical thought: numbers, geometry, and infinity."

After presenting the Pythagorean triples and their links with geometry, he writes: "It is thought that in ancient times such solutions may have been used for the construction of right angles. For example, by stretching a closed rope with 12 equally spaced knots one can obtain a (3, 4, 5) triangle with right angle between the sides 3, 4 (...)". And he presents a triangle as in figure 1.




Figure 1: The knotted cord with 12 equally spaced knots

Now, we can remark that, if we multiply the three numbers corresponding to the sides, we get:

$$3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5 = 60$$

In fact, from the three sides of that triangle we can get the prime-power factorization of 60:

$$2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 = 60$$

Moreover, with the length of the three sides we can construct a rectangular parallelepiped whose volume is 60, as in figure 2.




Figure 2: A rectangular parallelepiped whose volume is 60

This is important because the volume 60 is linked to that basic triangle. The base of that rectangular parallelepiped is formed of two times the basic triangle seen above. And in that rectangular parallelepiped we can, obviously, place 60 elements. That is related to the notion of capacity in a container. It is also related to the notions of weight and mass. This can lead also to grouping elements in groups of 60 elements. And in fact, we will see below that the old Sumerian units of weight/mass were grouped by 60 (see section 3.2, page 5).

What we are seeing is that the number 60 is naturally linked to a basic triangle whose sides are the numbers of the simplest Pythagorean triple: (3, 4, 5). We are seeing also that this triangle can be constructed with a knotted cord with 12 equally spaced knots. We are seeing that with the lengths of the triangle we can construct a rectangular parallelepiped whose volume is 60.

So, those are some very good reasons to choose 60 for a base. In fact, besides the advantages mentioned above (60 is divided by 2, 3, 5, 30, etc.), 60 is linked to a very basic triangle constructed with a knotted cord.

Furthermore, it is important to recall that, with the knotted cord with 12 equally spaced knots, we can form a circle as in figure 3. That circle is divided in 12 equal parts.




Figure 3: Circle divided in 12 equal parts.

Now, we know that if  $(a, b, c)$  is a Pythagorean triple, so is  $(ka, kb, kc)$  with  $k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq 2$ . If  $k = 30$  and  $(a, b, c) = (3, 4, 5)$  we get  $(90, 120, 150)$ . So we get a triangle as in figure 4. So we get a triangle as in figure 4.




Figure 4: A triangle with the triple (90, 120, 150)

We can remark that:

$$90 + 120 + 150 = 360$$

So we can have also a knotted cord with 360 equally spaced knots. This fact could have also lead to to division of the circle into 360 equal parts. Another reason, among others, is that it is close to the 365 days of the year.

By the way, if  $k = 2$  and  $(a, b, c) = (3, 4, 5)$  we get  $(6, 8, 10)$ . We can remark that:

$$6 + 8 + 10 = 24$$

So we can get also a circle divided into 24 equal parts and it correspond to the number of hours of the day.

### 3 Historical and cultural arguments for the choice of base 60 from a knotted cord

#### 3.1 The use of the cords in the past

In the previous section we have seen how the the knotted cord with 12 equally spaced knots is related to base 60 and the division of the circle into 360 equal parts, through Pythagorean triples.

Now, how can we prove that it was really used as this in Old Mesopotamia?

We will present several arguments:

1) It is known that Egyptians used knotted cords to perform measurements (see [Obenga1995], p. 22).

2) According to Plutarch, quoted by Roger Cooke (see [Cooke2011], p. 238), the triangle whose sides are 3, 4 and 5, was very regarded above all others by the Egyptians.

3) Roger Cooke gives some arguments that suggest that the Egyptians knew the Pythagorean theorem (see [Cooke2011], p. 238 and [WikipediaK]).

4) The Egyptian civilization was contemporary to the mesopotamian civilizations (Sumer, etc.) and was not very far from them.

6) The Babylonians knew Pythagorean triples around 1800 BC. We can see it in the Plimpton 322 clay tablet (see [WikipediaP]).

7) Roger Ifrah has recalled that knotted cords have been used for counting all around the world for millenials (see [Ifrah2000], pp. 67-72). Among the most known, there the quipus of the Inca Empire.

8) According to Bartel Leendert van der Waerden, in his "Geometry and Algebra in Ancient Civilizations" book, the integer-sided right triangles are ubiquitous in the oldest megalithic structures (see [Cooke2011], p. 238).

Given those 8 arguments, it is unlikely that those who had the idea of choosing 60 as a base, in Mesopotamia, didn't know all the facts linked to the knotted cord with 12 equally spaced knots and to the Pythagorean triples. And we know that there was also the division of the circle in 360 equal parts in Mesopotamia.

It's important to recall that in Ancient Civilizations, the numbers were used not only for calculations. They had also metaphysical meanings, as for Pythagoras. We have seen that the knotted cord with 12 equally spaced knots unifies geometry, arithmetic and even astronomy. That idea of unification was surely important.

Furthermore, in the following section we will see that there are important links between the 12-knotted cord and the grouping of measurement units in Old Mesopotamia.

### 3.2 The sumerian units of length, area, capacity, mass and weight and the link with the 12-knotted cord

In the old times of Mesopotamia, there were several systems of measurement. There was later a simplification of it. In the archaic times of Mesopotamia, and later, there was a system of length measurement in which the number 12 was important.

In the archaic times (see [Ritter1999], p. 229), where one unit was the kuš, we had:

$$1 \text{ gi} = 6 \text{ kuš}$$

$$1 \text{ nindan} = 2 \text{ gi} = 12 \text{ kuš}$$

According to Ritter (see [Ritter1999], p. 230), the basic unit was the nindan.

Later, when the measurement systems were simplified (see [WikipediaM] and [Melville2001]), the key unit was the kuš (cubit, about 0.5 m.), and we had still:

$$1 \text{ nindan (rod)} = 2 \text{ gi} = 12 \text{ kuš}$$

and also:

$$1 \text{ eshe (rope)} = 10 \text{ nindan} = 120 \text{ kuš}$$

We have seen the importance of the number 12 and the name "cord" or "rope" in that system. It comes probably from the importance of the 12-knotted cord. In fact, if we cut the circle seen before (see figure 3), we get a line (see figure 5):




Figure 5: The 12-knotted cord suggests the grouping of length units by 12

As we can see, the cord is divided in 12 equally parts. From that, we can use the number 12 as a grouping number for lengths. And it is likely that this is what hapened in Mesopotamia, in archaic times and later.

Now, what is very interesting, is that for the measurement of weights, capacities and volumes, the number 12 is not used. The number 60 was used for grouping.

In the archaic times (see [Ritter1999], p. 229), where one unit for weight was the gin, we had:

$$1 \text{ mana} = 60 \text{ gín}$$

We have also an example of the measurement of capacity in an Old Sumerian division exercise (see [Friberg19], p. 191-192). We had the following units, where one sila was about 1 L.:

$$1 \text{ gur.mah} = 8 \text{ barig}, 1 \text{ barig} = 6 \text{ bán}, 1 \text{ bán} = 10 \text{ sila}$$

With 10 sîla we had a bán and with 6 bán we had 1 barig. So 1 barig was the equivalent of 60 sîla. So the number 60 was linked to the capacities.

Later, for mass or weight, we had always (see [WikipediaM] and [Melville2001]):

$$1 \text{ mana} = 60 \text{ gín (shekel)}$$

and:

$$1 \text{ gu} = 60 \text{ mana}$$

And those groupings using the number 60 might come from the fact that, as we have shown before, with the numbers 3, 4 and 5 of the Pythagorean triple, from the 12-knotted cord, we can have the number 60, by multiplication, which corresponds to a capacity or a volume (see figure 2). And the capacity is linked to mass by proportionality for each type of matter.

For areas, in archaic times, the number 60 was used in measurement system for grouping and 1 šar was 60 gín (see [Ritter1999], p. 229). But in later times, there was a remain of the number 12, because the basic unit, the sar, was a square with each side measuring one 1 nindan, and 1 nindan was equal to 12 kuš (see [WikipediaM] and [Melville2001]).

So we have seen that from the 12-knotted cord we can take the number 12 for grouping lengths units and the number 60 for grouping weight/capacity/mass units.

### 3.3 The sumerian division of time and the link with the 12-knotted cord

We know that the Sumerians divided the day into 6 parts and later into 12 equal periods called dannas ( [Ronan1988], p. 59 and [WikipediaM]). That division might come also from the circle divided into 12 equal parts that we get from the 12-knotted cord closed to form a loop (see figure 3).

Moreover, each danna was divided into 30 geš, so there were 360 gesh in a day. And that division can be obtained from the 360-knotted cord closed to form a loop, which is linked to a Pythagorean triple. It can be used also to represent the division of the year in 360 days.

As we have seen before, the 24-knotted cord closed to form a loop is linked to a Pythagorean triple and is also perhaps linked to the origin of the division of the day in 24 hours by the Egyptians.

So, the 12-knotted cord could have been used also for grouping units of time.

## 4 Conclusion

So we have found a device that unifies geometry and arithmetic. The number 60 is naturally linked to a basic triangle whose sides are the numbers of the simplest Pythagorean triple: (3, 4, 5). This triangle can be constructed with a knotted cord with 12 equally spaced knots. And it is related to the origins of sexagesimal system. The 12-knotted cord can suggest a grouping of elements by 60. And this device is also linked to the grouping of units of measurement in Old Mesopotamia, as it has been shown.

## References

- [Britan] Encyclopedia Britannica, *Numeral systems*, <https://www.britannica.com/science/numeral/Numeral-systems>, page consulted on July 2025.
- [Cooke2011] Cooke, R., *The History of Mathematics: A Brief Course*, (2nd ed.), John Wiley & Sons, 2011.

- [Friberg19] Friberg, J., *Three thousand years of sexagesimal numbers in Mesopotamian mathematical texts*, Arch. Hist. Exact Sci. 73, 183–216 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00407-019-00221-3>
- [Ifrah2000] Ifrah, G., *The universal history of numbers*, Translated from the French by David Bellos, E. F. Harding, Sophie Wood, and Ian Monk John Wiley & Sons, Inc, 2000
- [Melville2001] Melville D., *Old Babylonian Weights and Measures*, <https://myslu.stlawu.edu/dmel/mesomath/obmetrology.html>, page consulted on September 2025.
- [Obenga1995] Obenga, T., *La géométrie égyptienne*, Editions l’Harmattan, Paris, 1995.
- [Powell1995] Powell, M., *Metrology and mathematics in Ancient Mesopotamia*, in Jack M. Sasson (ed.), *Civilizations of the Ancient Middle East, III*, Simon & Schuster Macmillan, New York, 1995, pp. 1941–1957.
- [Ritter1999] Ritter, J., *Metrology, writing and mathematics in Mesopotamia*, in Jaroslav Foltá (ed.), *Calculi 1929–1999, Prague Studies in the History of Science and Technology*, Prague, pp. 215–241.
- [Ronan1988] Ronan, C., *Histoire mondiale des sciences*, traduit de l’anglais par Bonnafont, C., Editions du Seuil, Paris, 1988.
- [Stillwell2010] Stillwell, J., *Mathematics and Its History*, Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2010
- [Torres-Heredia2005] Torres-Heredia Julca, J., *UN LIEN GEOMETRIQUE ENTRE LE CERCLE ET LE SYSTEME SEXAGESIMAL*, halshs-00004270, <https://shs.hal.science/halshs-00004270>
- [Torres-Heredia2007] Torres-Heredia Julca, J., *A geometrical link between the circle and sexagesimal system*, arXiv:0707.0676 [math.HO], <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.0707.0676>
- [WikipediaK] Wikipedia, *Knotted cord*, page consulted on July 2025.
- [WikipediaM] Wikipedia, *Ancient Mesopotamian units of measurement*, page consulted on September 2025.
- [WikipediaP] Wikipedia, *Plimpton 322*, page consulted on July 2025.